

[FLU] Vaccines Sold by Marketing Fear of Disease: BMJ Report

by Heidi Stevenson
Gaia-Health.com

Influenza vaccines are killers, life destroyers, and provide little or no benefit. The evidence is clear. A report published in the BMJ clarifies how these facts are ignored by health agencies. To get around them, they simply push fear of disease well past the point of absurdity. But the CDC and other health agencies have no other way to sell the unsellable.

The *British Medical Journal (BMJ)*, one of the world's most highly revered scientific medical publications, has published an article that condemns influenza vaccines and their marketing. The last sentence reads:

It's no wonder so many people feel that "flu shots" don't work: for most flus, they can't.^[1]

Influenza vaccines don't work as advertised. Nonetheless, they're heavily marketed by governmental agencies through one consistent tactic: fear. Dr. Doshi describes how influenza vaccinations are sold:

[I]nfluenza is a serious disease, we are all at risk of complications from influenza, the flu shot is virtually risk free, and vaccination saves lives.

In other words, he's saying that the Centers for Disease Control (CDC), which supposedly exists for the benefit of the people's health, is selling influenza vaccines by trying to scare people into it. It's pure fear mongering and as we'll see later, outright lies, to market flu vaccines. He goes on to state that looking through the CDC's vaccine-marketing lens gives the impression that:

... the lack of influenza vaccine availability for all 315 million US citizens seems to border on the unethical. Yet across the country, mandatory influenza vaccination policies have cropped up, particularly in healthcare facilities, precisely because not everyone wants the vaccination, and compulsion appears the only way to achieve high vaccination rates.

Dr. Doshi is telling us that a combination of fear mongering and force are now being used to compel people to accept forced drugging by vaccination. Then, he states:

Closer examination of influenza vaccine policies shows that although proponents employ the rhetoric of science, the studies underlying the policy are often of low quality, and do not substantiate officials' claims.

The science supporting influenza vaccines is poor. Surely the CDC must know this. After all, it's their job to know! So, the fact that they use junk science to support a massive program of vaccination clearly demonstrates an utter lack of faith towards the people. There can be no explanation for this dereliction of duty other than having sold out to the manufacturers and the medical system itself.

By the way, those quotes all comes from the first paragraph of Dr. Doshi's report. Because they're all provocative statements, it's imperative that he support them—and that he does, with clarity and force.

Who's at risk?

When the flu vaccine was originally recommended in the United States in 1960, only adults age 65 or older were considered at risk if they got the flu. Now, the CDC calls for everyone age 6 months or more is considered "at risk". If the CDC is believed, then the entire population is now as weak as only those over 65 were about 50 years ago.

Does the influenza vaccine save lives?

The CDC wants us all to believe that flu vaccines save lives. However, as Dr. Doshi points out, the evidence does not support the claim. The so-called evidence cited by the CDC consistently contains flaws so severe that they should be discounted completely. He points out one study that appears to show a huge improvement in the odds of death from influenza. But, the study was done outside the influenza season, a time that he refers to as, "when it is hard to imagine the vaccine could bring any benefit." Even the authors found the results implausible, stating that their result:

... is simply implausible, and likely the product of the "healthy-user effect".

Dr. Doshi points out that this same bias is present in many studies. Further, he points out that the CDC itself acknowledges this particular bias in studies. Of course, they buried the admission deep inside a 68 page document:

These studies have been challenged because of concerns that they have not controlled adequately for differences in the propensity for healthier persons to be more likely than less healthy persons to receive vaccination.^[2]

This point is only one flaw in the studies cited by the CDC. Also significant is that the CDC completely ignores studies that do not support their chosen vaccination program. They do not admit that the evidence simply does not support their claim that lives are saved.

Is the flu vaccine safe?

The CDC claims that the influenza vaccine is safe. The reality has proven to be the complete opposite. The National Institutes for Health (NIH) actively promoted a video by their director, Anthony S. Fauci, in which he claims:


[T]he track record [of the H1N1 vaccine] for serious adverse events is very good. It's very, very, very rare that you ever see anything that's associated with the vaccine that's a serious event.

This same swine flu vaccine resulted in these massive adverse effects:

- It was suspended by Australia in children under 5 years because of febrile convulsions. 1 in 110 children were affected.
- It caused narcolepsy, a life-devastating neurological illness, in hundreds of adolescents in Europe. 1 in 55,000 adolescents lost their futures to narcolepsy as a direct result of this vaccine.^[3]
- Just recently, the UK has admitted that it caused narcolepsy.^[4]

Yet the CDC continues claiming that these vaccines are safe!

Have influenza vaccines reduced mortality?




Vaccine-choice advocates have been pointing out that vaccination has not affected mortality rates from other diseases. Dr. Doshi makes exactly the same point about influenza vaccines, and provides a graph that clearly illustrates the point.

As you can see, it's obvious that any benefit has been, at best, minimal, making a mockery of the CDC's claims that thousands die from influenza every year.

How much flu is genuine influenza?

Dr. Doshi is particularly troubled by the abuse of terminology. He states:

But perhaps the cleverest aspect of the influenza marketing strategy surrounds the claim that "flu" and "influenza" are the same. The distinction seems subtle, and purely semantic. But general lack of awareness of the difference might be the primary reason few people realize that even the ideal influenza vaccine, matched perfectly to circulating strains of wild influenza and capable of stopping all influenza viruses, can only deal with a small part of the "flu" problem because most "flu" appears to have nothing to do with influenza.



He focuses on the distinction between real influenza and influenza-like illness. People often say that they have “the flu”, when they really don’t. Doctors often diagnose “the flu” when their patients don’t have it.

The fact is that most cases of “flu” aren’t. They’re actually influenza-like diseases, and there are many of them.

This graph documents how few people who’ve been diagnosed with influenza actually have it. This is one of the sneakiest tricks used by the CDC, NIH, and such agencies all over the world.

They give the impression that influenza is a far more common disease than it is. That, in turn, is used to drum up yet more fear to sell vaccines.

Is this a legitimate review?

With so much junk science being passed off for the purpose of selling products, it’s always a fair question to ask if the authors are legit. In this case, of course, the question is a bit different. Why would this author write this paper?

Dr. Peter Doshi is a post-doctoral fellow at Johns Hopkins School of Medicine, which is generally considered to be one of the world’s finest. His career is ahead of him, but this paper may have derailed it. We’ve seen what’s been done to the career of Dr. Andrew Wakefield, who was already a world-renowned researcher with impeccable credentials. Dr. Doshi cannot be unaware of that, so the only conclusion to be drawn is that he feels conscience-bound to tell the truth and to inform people of the fact that influenza vaccines are both dangerous and, if not entirely ineffective, certainly they provide only minuscule benefit.

Dr. Doshi has eviscerated both the claims in support of influenza vaccination and the inherent character of our health regulatory agencies. So, will we see any change in the health regulation agencies’ push to vaccinate every human and animal on the face of the earth?

Not a chance. The CDC and virtually all the other so-called health agencies ceased to be protectors of people's health decades ago, and likely never were. They are nothing but a marketing front for Big Pharma and Big Medicine.

Sources:

1. **Influenza: marketing vaccine by marketing disease**; *British Medical Journal*; Peter Doshi; 346 doi: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1136/bmj.f3037>.
2. **Prevention and control of influenza with vaccines: recommendations of the Advisory Committee on Immunization Practices (ACIP), 2010.**
3. **Swine Flu Vaccine Caused Narcolepsy in Thousands: BMJ Claim**
4. **U.K. gov makes U-turn on link between GSK vaccine and narcolepsy**