

Q1 Adjust the current bottom age from 12 to 13 for Level 5 Senior divisions (excluding Level 5 restricted). Sr Level 5 team bottom age:



Answer Choices	Responses
12 (leave as is)	55.21% 17
13	33.44% 100
Abstain	11.36% 30
Total	31


Q2 Bottom Age for Senior Divisions L1-L4 and L5 restricted – Part 1Should we raise the current bottom age (10) for the Senior divisions L1- L4 and L5 restricted?



Answer Choices	Responses
No (leave as is - 10yrs old)	65.62% 208
Yes	32.49% 103
Abstain	1.89% 6
Total	317


Q3 Bottom Age for Senior Divisions L1-L4 and L5 restricted – Part 2lf #2 passes, should the bottom age of the Senior L1- L4 and 5 restricted divisions be 11 or 12 yrs old?





Answer Choices	Responses
11	54.66% 170
12	31.19% 97
Abstain	14.15% 44
Total	311


Q4 Adjust the current top age (5) for the L1 Tiny division to 6 years and under.



Answer Choices	Responses	
5 and under (leave as is)	17.87%	57
6 and under	79.94%	255
Abstain	2.19%	7
Total		319


Q5 Maximum number of males on Senior Level 5restricted Coed Level – Part 1Should we increase the maximum number of males on Senior Level 5 restricted Coed?






Answer Choices	Responses	
No (leave as is - 0 to 4 males)	47.30%	149
Yes	20.00%	63
Abstain	32.70%	103
Total		315

Q6 Maximum number of males on Senior Level 5 restricted Coed Level – Part 2lf #5 passes, should the maximum number of males allowed on a Senior Level 5 restricted be 5 or 6?




Answer Choices	Responses	
0-5 males	32.04%	99
0-6 males	21.68%	67
Abstain	46.28%	143
Total		309

Q7 Adjust the current titles from Tiny, Mini, Youth, etc. to "5 and Under", "8 and under", "11 and Under", etc.




Answer Choices	Responses	
Leave current names (leave as is) - Tiny, Mini, Youth, etc.	70.79%	223
Change to age descriptive names – "5 and Under", "8 and under", "11 and Under", etc.	25.40%	80
Abstain	3.81%	12
Total		315

Q8 International Small Coed Divisions – Part 1Should we create 2 new divisions, International Small Coed Divisions (L5 and L6)




Answer Choices	Responses
No - Do not create these divisions (leave as is)	24.37% 77
Yes - Create these divisions	35.76% 113
Abstain	39.87% 126
Total	316

Q9 International Small Coed Divisions – Part 2lf #8 passes, what should the team maximum numbers be for International Small Coed Divisions (L5 and L6)?



Answer Choices	Responses
16 females and 4 males.	33.23% 104
20 females and 4 males.	16.61% 52
Abstain	50.16% 157
Total	313


Q10 Create a new Jr 5 restricted division:Junior 5 restricted division (that follows the same rules as Senior Restricted 5).



Answer Choices	Responses
Disagree (leave as is - no Jr 5 restricted division)	19.68% 6
Agree (add Jr 5 restricted division)	63.49% 20
Abstain	16.83% 5
Total	31


Q11 New Sr L5 Coed Division – Part 1Create a new Sr L5 Coed division with these parameters: 36 members, 1-4 malesThis would add the division marked with ** : Small Coed: 20 total members, 1-4 males ** New Sr L5 Coed Division: 36 total members, 1-4 males Medium Coed: 30 total members, 1-8 males Large coed: 36 members, 1-18 males

Answered: 311 Skipped: 16



Answer Choices	Responses	
Leave as Small Coed, Medium Coed and Large Coed (leave as is)	42.77%	133
Create a new Sr L5 Coed division – 36 total members, 1-4 males	17.68%	55
Abstain	39.55%	123
Total		311


Q12 New Sr L5 Coed Division – Part 2IF the new Sr L5 Coed proposal in #11 passes, should the maximum number of athletes on a Medium Coed team be raised from 30 to 36?



Answer Choices	Responses	
Maximum number of total athletes should stay at 30 (leave as is)	37.30%	116
Maximum number of total athletes should be raised to 36	13.83%	43
Abstain	48.87%	152
Total		311


Q13 Should we adjust the focus of the industry names to Division I and Division II rather than Small Gym and no current name for gyms not in the Small Gym numbers range? This proposal is related strictly to the name change and in no way affects the other issues within the Division II conversation. (i.e. gym size, prep numbers, etc...)

Answered: 310 Skipped: 17




Answer Choices		Responses	
Disagree (leave as is - Small Gym and no current name for gyms not in the Small Gym numbers range)	51.61%	160	
Agree (Change to Division I and Division II)	48.39%	150	
Total		310	

Q14 Small Gym/Division II Numbers Should athletes in prep divisions be included in the total number of athletes of the program (regardless of when your prep season starts) when determining the classification for Small Gym/Division II?



Answer Choices	Responses	
Yes-include prep numbers	51.13%	158
No - do not include prep numbers	48.87%	151
Total		309


Q15 If prep numbers ARE NOT included, select from the options below to determine the total number of athletes in a program to classify for Small Gym/Division II:



Answer Choices	Responses	
Up to 75	41.31%	126
Up to 100	28.20%	86
Up to 125	17.70%	54
Up to 150	12.79%	39
Total		305

Q16 If prep numbers ARE included, select from the options below to determine the total number of athletes in a program to classify for Small Gym/Division II:





Answer Choices	Responses	
Up to 125	52.46%	160
Up to 150	25.90%	79
Up to 175	13.77%	42
175+	7.87%	24
Total		305